fessor of the Indian Institute of Mana gement, Ahmedabad and his being considered for appointment as Direc tor.

(c) to (e) Pacts of the case were collected from the Institute. It has been confirmed that the Professor concerned earned US $ 10,000 as royalty from the World Bank. The Chairman has directed that, to avoid recurrence of such embarrassing situations and to ensure fairness, the rules and norms, governing individual income and related matters should be clearly formulated by the Institute.

SHRI TINDIVANAM: G. VEN-KATSAMAN: Sir, I have seen the reply. I would humbly submit that the question has not been answered properly. The royalty, the amount, received we 3 10,000 dollars. According to the Institute's regulations, one-third has to be given to the faculty. It has not been given. What has happened to this amount?

SHRI CHIMANBHAI MEHTA: Sir, royalty is not sharable. Consultancy fee is sharaale. This is, generally, the guideline bning observed. *(Interruptions)* Royalty is not parted with. It is kept with the person concerned who is the author. It is kept with the person with whom the contract is signed. It is only royalty. Therefore, there is no question of parting with that amount with the Institute.

SHRI TINDIVANAM G. VENKAT-RAMAN: I beg to differ. The Committee has found out. It is only consultancy fee. One-third of it has to be shared with the Institute. This has not been remitted. They also recom-mended it.

Moreover, a senior professor, one Mr. V. L. Mote, has been overlooked. This point also has to be answered.

SHRl CHIMANBHAI MEHTA: Sir, this is the reply given by the Director. *(Interruptions,)*,

SHRI S. K. T. RAMACHANDRAN: I would like to ask the hon. Minister whether he is the proxy of the Director?

SHRI CHljMANBHAI MEHTA: Royalty amounts have never been shared in the Indian Institute of Management, Ahmedabad, in the past. It is, however, well-understood that the definition of 'royalty' is limited, to the income earned from the regular publication of books and periodicals in terms of an explicit and implicit contract. So, there is no ques-ton of consultation fee. In the contract that is signed, the letter that the World Bank has written to the Professor concerned, the word 'royalty' is mentioned.

Secondly, about somebody being overlooked in seniority, now the appointment of Director in the IIM is not on the basis of seniority. There is a selection committee, threeman Committee, which goes into that question. They look into the qualifications, etc. of all the persons throughout India. The Director should not be from the same Institute. Therefore, the question of seniority does not arise.

SHRIMATI MARGARET ALVA: This is the one hundred and second committee which has been appointed up till now. Every time they are talking about a committee.

SHRI TINDIVANAM G. VEN-KATRAMAN: It is not royalty, it is consultation fee only. A majority of the members of the faculty have said that the professor concerned should be sacked. He has pocketed the en-tbe money. That is the finding. According to the news report he should remit one-third to the Institute.

MR. CHAIRMAN: Have you got any other information other than the press report? Are you depending on the press report only? is there the